Appendix A

Analysis of Scoping Comments

Peasley Creek Culvert Replacement Project

Three letters specific to the project were received during the scoping period of May 27, 2016 to June 27, 2016. The letters were analyzed and an analysis code assigned to the comments (see Table 1).

Comment Analysis Codes

- 1: Outside the scope of the proposed action.
- 2: Already decided by law, regulation, Forest Plan, or other higher level of decision.
- 3: Irrelevant to the decision to be made.
- 4: Conjectural and not supported by scientific evidence.
- 5: General comment, suggestion, opinion, or position statement.
- 6: Other agency or partner's consultation, review, advice, recommendation(s), etc.
- 7: Already considered in the proposed action or is standard procedure.
- 8: Will be included in an analysis of effects to the environment.

Codes 1 - 6 are standard codes. Comments assigned to these codes are considered to be non-significant issues. Code 7 was added as a category for those suggestions that are already proposed or for procedures that are routinely done. Code 8 was added as a category for suggestions that will be analyzed for effects to the environment.

Table 1: Comment Analysis

Commenter	Comment	Disposition
Gary Macfarlane Friends of the Clearwater	This proposal, if carefully mitigated, would be beneficial and would most likely fit within CE parameters.	Thank you for your comment.
	Would a bridge be a better option than a culvert here on this creek, given its size?	5
Jonathan Oppenheimer and Mackenzie Case, Idaho Conservation League	With regards to the Peasley Creek Culvert Replacementproject we do not have any majorconcerns.	Thank you for your comment.
	Analyses for each project should consider how the project isconsistent with various management directions, including but not limited to the Endangered Species Act, Nez Perce and Clearwater National Forest Plans, Clean Water Act and any other relevant laws and agency direction.	This is standard procedure for all projects.
Daniel Stewart Idaho Dept. of Env. Quality	Project activities may affect the NP-CW NF's ability to achieve flow based on pollutant allocation reduction associated with Forest land or management activities.	3
	Projects initiated after the establishment of TMDL pollutant load allocations can adversely affect water quality through a reduction in load capacity.	3